UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE                      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/542,120                   | 02/27/2006                       | Marielle P.K.J Engelen | 140/1643US          | 8717             |
|                              | 7590 03/26/201<br>& FINGERSH, LC | EXAMINER               |                     |                  |
| ATTN: BOX II                 | P DEPT.                          | PACKARD, BENJAMIN J    |                     |                  |
| 600 Washington<br>Suite 2500 | n Ave.                           | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| ST LOUIS, MO                 | 63101                            | 1612                   |                     |                  |
|                              |                                  |                        |                     |                  |
|                              |                                  |                        | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                              |                                  |                        | 03/26/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPDEPT@LEWISRICE.COM KDAMMAN@LEWISRICE.COM

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/542,120      | ENGELEN ET AL. |  |
|                 |                |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |

|  | Benjamin Packard  | 1612  |   |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | orrespondence add   | ress                                    |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>12 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP  | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | ALLOWANCE.  |   |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance  | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request           |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejectio                              | n.                                      |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi                                      | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | te extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                    |   |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the con | nsideration and/or search (see NO w);<br>er form for appeal by materially rec   | ΓE below);<br>ducing or simplifying th                    |   |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10 and 12-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   | l be entered and an ex                                    | xplanation of                           |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |   |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear<br>and was not earlier presented. Se                                       | al and/or appellant fails<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)       | s to provide a                          |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   | •   |   |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.  |   |   |   |  |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | ⊬ i ∪/ов/∪8) ⊬aper No(s). <u>∠pgs (3/</u>   | <u>12/1U)</u>   |   |  |  |
| /Frederick Krass/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612  | /Benjamin Packard/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 1612   |   |   |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants assert the amendment to simplify for purposes of appeal.

This rejection is maintained since applicant has (effectively) not responded to the rejection in a substantive manner. See 37 CFR § 1.111(b)

and MPEP § 714.02.